

For Office Use only:
Date
Ref

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015

Representation Form

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.
(Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the web page)

4. To which proposed main modification does this representation relate?

Proposed Main Modification number:

MM38, MM40, MM42, MM72, MM79

5. Do support or object the proposed main modification?

Support

Object

Object

6. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'legally compliant'?

Yes

No

7. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'sound'?

Yes

No – 'unsound'

Unsound

8. If you consider the proposed main modification to be 'unsound', please identify which test of soundness your comments relate to?

Positively prepared

Not positively prepared

Justified

Not justified

Effective

Not effective

Consistent with National Planning Policy (the NPPF)

9. Please give details of why you consider the proposed main modification is not legally compliant or is unsound in light of the main modifications proposed. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the proposed main modification please use this box to set out your comments.

(Please note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the representation and the suggested change. It is important that your representation relates to a proposed main modification).

The revised distribution of housing.

Whilst other areas have seen the number of houses reduced, the number of houses in the South East Bradford area has remained constant at 6000. This is an unfair and disproportionately high number of houses being distributed to South East Bradford and in particular to the Tong Valley area. This is not sustainable for community cohesion and damages the integrity of the Conservation village of Tong. There is little evidence offered that this area is a place to which people would want to move in preference to the more desirable areas located in the Aire and Wharfe valleys. Furthermore, it is not supportable by the infrastructure currently in situ nor for that envisaged for the future. The proposed access road through the Holme Wood Urban Extension is wholly inadequate.

10. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q7 above.

You need to say why this change will make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Reduce the number of houses to be located in South East Bradford by 2000 to 4000 and reallocate these to areas which had seen reductions i.e. Shipley and which are deemed more desirable eg Ilkley and Burley in Wharfedale.

11. Signature:

Ward

Date:

19/1/16

Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.